REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The Applicant appreciates the detailed examination of the present application and the extensive telephone interview conducted with the Examiner on 7 April 2006. During that interview, the Applicant's attorney and the Examiner discussed in detail how to amend claims 1, 7, 13 and 14 in order to place all pending claims in condition for allowance. The following is a brief summary of the positions taken by the Examiner and the Applicant.

The Examiner agreed with the Applicant that claim 1 would be allowable if "single" was added in front of "feedback signal" on line 4. Similarly, the Examiner agreed with the Applicant that claim 13 would be allowable if "single" was added in front of "feedback signal" on line 5, and that claim 14 would be allowable if "single" was added in front of "feedback signal" on line 3. In addition, the Examiner agreed with the Applicant that claim 7 would be allowable if "single" was added in front of "feedback signal" on line 6, and if "a feedback circuit..." was relocated to after "a transient-phase drive circuit...".

After full consideration of both the referenced Final Office Action and the substance of the interview, the Applicant has amended the claims in a manner agreed upon by the Examiner. As a result, the Applicant submits that no valid basis now exists for rejecting the pending claims.

While the undersigned believes that, upon entry of the noted amendments, the application will be in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned by telephone should additional matters benefit from further discussion.

In the event any fees are due as a result of this amendment, you are hereby authorized to charge such payment to Deposit Account No. 07-1897.

DATED this 13th day of April, 2006.

Respectfully Submitted,

GRAYBEAL JACKSON HALEY LLP

Attorney for Applicant Registration No. 57,898 155 – 108th Ave. NE, Suite 350

Bellevue, WA 98004-5973

P: (425) 455-5575 F: (425) 455-1046

Attachment: Return Postcard